

Indoor environment challenges in a new type office building – case study

E. NEMETHOVA, D. PETRAS

Slovak University of Technology in Bratislava, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Slovakia

W. STUTTERECKER

Fachhochschule Burgenland GmbH, Pinkafeld, Austria

T. SCHOBBERER

Forschung Burgenland GmbH, Pinkafeld, Austria

ABSTRACT: The aim of the study is to evaluate the indoor environment conditions in the new-built office building, Energetikum and consequently suggest the control strategies which can lead to determination of critical areas and elimination of thermal discomfort. Representative offices have been selected and equipped with portable sensor groups for monitoring of the indoor environment parameters. Contribution is presenting the data obtained from 6 selected rooms during 3 reference weeks - heating, transition and cooling period. The measured results indicate overheating of the rooms, particularly in the ones with the large glazed areas with higher solar gains. The values of indoor air temperature during heating and transition period could not meet the normative criteria according to standard EN 15251:2007 (the cat. II.) during 13 %-49 % of evaluated time intervals. Consequently, the simulation model of the selected office was created and is pointing to the possibilities of the control system improvement, which can lead to an elimination of the problem with overheating.

1. INTRODUCTION

Environmental comfort in offices designed in accordance to new trends and standards ought to provide optimal conditions for the efficient productivity of the occupants. However, the recent trend in construction, light-weight facades with high portion of glazed components in combination with radiant heating/cooling systems, reveals extensive thermal comfort issues. With regard to the fact, that the light-weight glazed facades are very sensitive to the climatic conditions, properly designed combination of HVAC, lightning and solar shading set-points are crucial for the energy performance, especially in fully glazed buildings or zones. The research is focused on environmental behaviour of the new-build office building, particularly on the problem with thermal discomfort.

2. NEW-TYPE OFFICE BUILDING - BUILDING WITH LARGE GLAZED AREAS

The recent trend of transparent buildings with a high portion of glazed areas is usually initiated by architects in order to provide more daylight and better view for the users. The glass parts of the façade are installed not only to serve as physical and visual connection between indoor and outdoor environment, but also for the aesthetical sense.

2.1 THERMAL COMFORT ISSUES IN THE SPACES WITH LARGE GLAZED AREAS

The results obtained from many actual investigations indicate that the buildings (mainly with administrative purpose) with the light-weight glazed facades are having significant problems with thermal comfort. Big glazed areas are always related to higher energy losses during the heating season and overheating of indoor

spaces because of the direct sun (Carmody et al. 2004). Moreover, it is claimed that the energy demands for different highly glazed buildings may vary more than for buildings with traditional facades since the glazed alternatives are particularly sensitive to the outdoor conditions (Brunner et al., 2001).

During the summer are the problems with the interior overheating more or less expected. However, this situation also occurs during the heating period. Winter days with high amount of the solar irradiation, when the solar gains exceed the energy demands for the heating, are the crucial area of the HVAC design. This problem is very important in buildings with a centralised heating and ventilation system where some parts of the buildings should be heated and some cooled at the same time. The worst thermal conditions are in these parts of the building, which are under the influence of the direct sun. This is resulting in utilization ventilation and air conditioning systems for cooling which increases energy consumption of the building. To maintain the indoor environment at the level of comfort, the operation of automatically controlled shading elements is also unavoidable. However, to protect the building from unwanted solar gains, the compromise between the day lightning and solar gains has to be done.

2.2 IMPACT OF THE OVERHEATING ON THE OCCUPANTS

The researches on people's performance while working under different thermal conditions showed the results indicating lower performance during the uncomfortable air temperature period (Šeduikyte and Paukštys, 2008). According to Niemela et al. (2002) people performance decreased by 2.2 % by every 1 °C over 25 °C. Another research in an office building showed performance decrease by 15 % when the temperature increased from 24.8 °C to 26 °C (Federspiel et al., 2002).

2.3 THE RADIANT HEATING/COOLING SYSTEMS IMPLEMENTATION

As there is an obvious and indisputable need for an increase in the efficiency of energy utilisation in buildings and in the energy supply system, low-exergy systems are being increasingly implemented in the building technology. Radiant heating systems are very suitable for combination with renewable energy sources, e.g. heat pumps and solar collectors. The outside weather conditions, solar irradiance, changes in internal heat gains and small heat accumulation capability of the light-weight facade can result in relatively dynamic changes in thermal balance of the building. Due to the high time constant, i.e. slow reaction of the radiant heating/cooling, the system may not be able to respond to these changes fast enough to assure a comfortable thermal environment, which can lead in naturally ventilated buildings to temperatures as high as 29.5 °C (Nemethova et al., 2016). It is an essential task to learn, how to control this type of currently popular buildings, where light-envelopes with the combination of radiant heating/cooling systems are implemented, as the risk of the overheating during is grows with increasing solar radiation rates.

3. DESCRIPTION OF THE REFERENCE OBJECT

Due to the lack of currently constructed suitable experimental buildings, in most cases, isolated individual technology tests are being provided, taking place only under laboratory conditions or simulation environment. Therefore, an interdisciplinary living laboratory, the Energetikum, was designed. It allows influence of the real user behaviour on the development of alternative energy supply systems, storage technologies and control engineering strategies in scale 1:1. The investigation of the indoor environment, as a result of properly designed control of HVAC systems and other building technology, was one of the main purpose of the project. The Energetikum is used as an office building for employees of Research Burgenland GmbH since April 2015.

3.1 BUILDING ENVELOPE, CONSTRUCTION AND THERMAL PROPERTIES

The two-storied building, located in Pinkafeld, Burgenland (Austria), has two types of the façade to eliminate the risks of higher heating demands during the winter and provide the best conditions for the natural lighting infiltration. Opaque surfaces create 82 percent of the envelope and the light-weight components

or windows 18 percent of the envelope. The light-weight (post and beam) façade is implemented in the parts of the building envelope orientated to the west-south-west and south-south-east, where the thermal gains during the heating period can lower the energy demand of the spaces. The external blinds prevent the indoor air during the periods with high solar radiance from overheating. North-north-west and east-north-east facades are designed as reinforced-concrete walls with 160 mm of thermal insulation. All the transparent parts of the façade (windows, post and beam façade, entrance portal) have a triple glazing. The thermal transmittance value of the individual components varies between 0.79 and 1.10 W/(m²K) in accordance to the ratio of glazed area to total surface area.

3.2 DESCRIPTION OF INSTALLED HVAC SYSTEMS

A brine/water heat pump was installed to supply the object with the heat. Heat pump deprives the primary energy from energy baskets and helix probes (located around the building) and a surface collector under the fundamentals of the building. The available area for geothermal energy is too low to cover the power supply created by heat pump. Therefore, an additional energy source, gas boiler, was installed into the building system to provide the power supply.

Three separated distribution systems are implemented to the heating/cooling system:

- Underfloor heating system (wet-laid);
- Thermally active building component activation (in the middle of the concrete ceiling);
- Near-surface thermally active building component activation (on the surface of the concrete ceiling).

Systems can run simultaneously or separated, depending on the current demands. A separate control loop of the 3 systems of the upper floor was installed in order to the request on independent operation of the systems. The heating/cooling is operating on the same temperature difference between inlet and outlet to avoid the hydraulic problems.

Energetikum is mechanically ventilated and provides the complex air-conditioning. Air exchange is fully covered by mechanical ventilation, the window-openings are not essential to maintain the minimal air change rates. The air distribution system is performed by ventilation pipeline to dedicated air zones, where each room is considered as a separate zone. Variable volume flow controllers adjust the desired amount of the air. They are located in the assigned rooms. The inlet and the outlet of air is provided through the round duct grills in round spiro pipes.

3.3 ENERGY DEMAND AND CLASSIFICATION

Heating demand of the building was designed up to 35.043 kWh/a, while specific heating demand value of Energetikum is 9.94 kWh/m³a. Cooling demand value of the Energetikum is 1.510 kWh/a and specific cooling demand value is 0.43 kWh/m³a. Total primary energy demand is 126.257 kWh/a. Specific heating demand falls under the category B and primary energy fulfils the requirements of category C. The buildings meets the criteria on the contemporary standards.

4. INDOOR ENVIRONMENT ASSESSMENT AND DETERMINATION OF THE CRITICAL AREAS

For monitoring of the various indoor environment indicators such as air temperature, relative humidity, carbon dioxide concentration, portable measuring sensor groups were installed in selected offices in 2016. Furthermore, the energy consumption sensors, sensors measuring heating and ventilation systems properties and the weather station measuring ambient conditions have been installed simultaneously. Based on the recorded data, it is possible to evaluate the indoor environment quality, energy consumption and behaviour of the HVAC systems.

In summary, three monitoring groups aimed at different aspects of the building, have been already installed:

- Energy consumption and HVAC system sensors – in engine room measuring temperatures and flow rates;
- Portable sensor groups – in corresponding offices - measuring indoor air temperature, humidity and CO₂ concentration;
- Sensors monitoring ambient conditions – on the roof of the object - measuring air temperature and humidity.

4.1 INDOOR ENVIRONMENT MONITORING AND INSTALLED TECHNOLOGY

Beside the pre-installed SIEMENS sensors, which are aimed at the HVAC operation and control, the portable “monitoring-trees”, with highly sensible sensors were installed. These are helping to detect the problems with indoor environment and energy consumption. There is a potential of energy-saving improvements via applications of different control strategies to the system.




Fig. 1: Installed portable monitoring technology – SIMULATION ROOM 1/1

Tab. 1: Parameters of portable measuring group in a reference room

Number	Type	Input number	Sensor type	Measured parameter	Condition type	Mode	Location
1	WSN-3226	Input 1	T_I 1.1	Temperature	internal	in use	simulation room 1/1
		Input 2	rF 4.2	Humidity	internal	in use	
		Input 3	CO2 5.1	Carbon dioxide	internal	in use	
		Input 4	S 3.1	Air velocity	internal	not in use	

4.2 RESULTS AND OBSERVATIONS

The time samples were collected during three reference weeks in year (2016) and the data was sorted out according to the working hours (6:00 AM - 6:00 PM) and assessed in accordance with EN 15251:2007.

4.2.1 THERMAL COMFORT

According to EN 15251, the room can be classified into one of the four categories of the indoor environment. The nominal level of expectations for new and renovated buildings is represented by category II (20-24 °C for heating, 23-26 °C for cooling). Results of the air temperature and classification into the four categories of thermal comfort (I to IV) are shown in Fig. 2. Category IV can be accepted for only a very lim-

ited time period. The results indicate that the desired thermal environment was achieved for only a limited period of time, which was in all cases caused by excessive air temperature.




Fig. 2: Classification of thermal environment into 4 categories in accordance with EN 15251

According to the Fig. 2, thermal environment in the building suffers on the thermal discomfort during all three periods. The overheating mainly occurs in the transition and heating period, when the radiant system cooling is not activated. During heating periods, the discomfort is mainly caused by temperatures inferior to the values required in standard EN 15215.




Fig. 3: Comparison of indoor air temperatures in the reference offices during the occupied time intervals of evaluated heating period

The peak of the overheating occurs during the afternoon, as a consequence of the solar gain accumulation and low heat losses. The areas with south/west orientation with higher solar radiation rates are more sensitive to overheating than eastern parts. The amount of external walls and consequently higher portion of glazed components significantly influences the sensitivity to the solar radiation and the heat losses. However, as the automatic shading system was not in the operation during the evaluated time periods, the behaviour of occupants strongly influenced the thermal conditions in the corresponding offices.

The temperature curves in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 are presenting the amplitudes of indoor air temperature in the reference offices. Generally, the temperature range is above the desired value during the heating period and below the desired area during the cooling period, which suggests that the control system is not considering the adaptive principle. By adjusting of the set temperatures or control settings (e.g. PI controller, shading devices, flow rates) it would be possible to save significant amount of the energy simultaneously with thermal comfort enhancement.

Tab. 2 presents, how many samples fulfil the criteria on thermal comfort according to the standards. In result, none of the assessed reference offices meets the criteria on thermal environment, as more than 90 % of evaluated time samples exceed the limits for the cat. II. (EN 15251).




Fig. 4: Comparison of indoor air temperatures in the reference offices during the occupied time intervals of evaluated cooling period

Regarding to the fact, that the building is still in the trial operation and the elimination of the existing problems was one of the main research tasks, the indoor is being observed to find the best solutions for the improvement of critical areas of the comfort. The feasible tool is the adjustment of control system, particularly putting the automatic shading devices to the service. Consequently, the different control strategies of HVAC systems should be considered, which creates the challenge for the further study and research.

Tab. 2: Description of the reference offices and summary of thermal comfort assessment

Description of the reference offices				I-II. CAT - EN 15251			
Office identification	Orientation (exterior walls)	Number (exterior walls)	Glass / Wall	21.3.-25.3.2016	25.4.-29.4.2016	23.5.-26.5.2016	Sum.
	[-]	[-]	[%]	[%]	[%]	[%]	[%]
SIM1/1	W	1	100,00	85,53	95,39	70,24	83,72
SIM1/2	S/ W	2	100,00	40,08	88,47	67,76	65,44
SIM2	S	1	100,00	91,50	61,08	57,39	69,99
SIM3	S/E	2	66,35	87,44	50,42	15,52	51,13
MEETING	S/ W	2	100,00	51,56	56,58	48,30	52,15
OPEN_SPACE	W/N	2	61,32	94,06	83,75	36,06	71,29

4.2.2 INDOOR AIR HUMIDITY AND QUALITY

The relative air humidity was satisfactory (I. cat) among more than 95 % of the time samples from the heating and transition period. As the adiabatic cooling is installed in the air conditioning unit, higher values of relative humidity can occur during the days with higher ambient temperature and cooling demand. The indoor air humidity classification according to EN 15251 in 6 reference offices during the cooling period is displayed in the Fig. 5. Through that, the indoor air humidity is in this case, comparing to the indoor air overheating, less important issue, the higher relative humidity values can (beside the different perception of thermal environment) cause the health problems.




Fig. 5: Classification of the reference rooms during the cooling period according to relative indoor air humidity into 4 categories

The CO₂ concentration outside was not measured during the whole reference period. In the evaluation, the CO₂ concentration outdoors was considered at the value 380 ppm which was the average value of short-term measurement. The indoor air quality in all reference areas during all three periods met the criteria on the air quality required by standard EN 15251 (350 ppm above the concentration outside – Cat. I.), which results from properly designed and operated ventilation system.

5. SIMULATION OF THE REFERENCE ROOM WITH REGARD TO THE IMPACT OF THE CONTROL ON THE THERMAL ENVIRONMENT

As a tool to examine the possibility of overheating reduction, the simulation of reference room located in the object was provided. Simulation room 1/1 was selected, as the orientation and portio of glazed areas were convenient for the experiment. As the problem with the thermal comfort during the cooling period (temperatures lower than required by standards) caused by low set-point temperatures of the cooling system, can be quite easily reduced, the reference week from the heating period was chosen for the study. The presented model was developed within TRNSYS environment.

5.1 HVAC SYSTEMS AND CONTROL

The system of radiant floor heating with stabile flow rate - 320 m³/h was implemented to the model to cover the heat demand of the zone. Inlet temperature is maintained at the desired value by three-way mixing valve. Fig. 6. Presents the floor plan of Simulation room 1/1 with implemented floor heating circuits.




Fig. 6: The floor heating system layout installed in the Simulation room 1/1

A PI controlling algorithm was applied to minimize the temperature fluctuations at around 21 °C during the occupied time periods and 16 °C during the night by adjusting the inlet flow rate temperature of the radiant heating.

The PI control algorithm is based on the following equation with two con (1):

$$u(t) = K_p e(t) + K_i \int_0^t e(\tau) d\tau \quad (1)$$

where $u(t)$ is the manipulated value; K_p is the proportional gain and K_i is the integral gain; and $e(t)$ is the error between the set-point value and the process value. The controller was set according to the Cohen-Coon tuning rules.

The normative air change 4 [1/h] is provided by ventilation system. The inlet air temperature varies and ranges between 17 °C and 21 °C. The air change rate is controlled by three stage controller depending on

the CO₂ concentration of the indoor air. The temperature of inlet is controlled proportionally, depending on the amount of the solar radiation.

The blinding system with 80 % impenetrability is protecting the room from the redundant solar gains. The occupation, lightning system and installed equipment are also integrated to the model.

5.2 APPLICATION OF CONTROL SYSTEM SET-POINT VARIATIONS

Four set-point variations (Tab. 3) were selected to examine the influence of shading and ventilation system on the thermal comfort. The blinding position was assigned according to the amount of incident solar radiation to the external wall. The exceeding of the solar radiation value 600 kJ/h.m² causes that the blinding system fully covers the window, which results to reflection of 80 % of the solar radiation. Otherwise, the shading is covering 30 % of the window area. The ventilation system is set to the temperature 21 °C. However, when the blinding system is not capable to cover the solar radiation, the temperature can be

	Variation 1	Variation 2	Variation 3	Variation 4
	On		On	
Shading system operation	(30/100%)	Off	(30/100%)	Off
Ventilation system inlet temperatures	17-21°C	17-21°C	17-21°C	21°C

5.3 SIMULATION RESULTS AND OBSERVATIONS

The indoor air temperatures with corresponding solar radiation values during the cooling period are presented in the Fig. 7.

Obtained results are indicating the importance of the automatic and properly designed control of the shading system. Even during the days, when the air-temperature does not exceed 10 °C, the solar gains are significantly influencing indoor environment. The temperatures in variation 2 and 4 are getting up to




Fig. 7: TRNSYS outputs – indoor air temperatures and solar radiation profiles - 4 versions

26 °C, which causes the thermal discomfort. This can be easily eliminated by putting the shading devices to the operation.

In spite of the fact, that the inlet ventilation temperature seems to influence the indoor air temperature slightly, the positive effect during the peak gains should not remain unneglectable. For more detailed results, the further analysis should be provided.

The correlation between the indoor air temperature and solar radiation passing through the external wall is presented in the Fig. 8. Considerable difference between the optimally designed variation 1 and improperly controlled variation 4 can be observed. The trend line is not only more moderate and shorter (according to lower radiation and temperature values), which indicates better response to the radiation.




Fig. 8 a, b: TRNSYS outputs – correlations between solar radiation and indoor air temperature with the exponential trend lines; a) Version 1 (left), b) Version 2 with the implemented area of version 1 (right)

6. DISCUSSION

During the first simulated day, with low level of the solar radiation, the response of all 4 variations is very similar. However, the consecutive days with higher solar radiation are rapidly changing the indoor air temperature values. The temperature difference between variation 1 and 2 is reaching over 5° C. According to the real experiments, during the overcast days, the indoor air temperatures ranges were approximately at the same level in both cases, using fully closed and fully opened venetian blinds. On the other hand, during the sunny days, the air temperatures differed for 2 °C (Tzempelikos et al., 2007). The difference would be higher, if more effective external shading devices would have been used instead of the venetian blinds.

The calculated value 600 kJ/h.m², used as a set-point for the closing of the blinding, is very similar to 189 W/m² (equals to 678 kJ/h.m²), which was the set point suggested by Wankanapon & Mistrick (2011). In the study it was able to save about 13-16 % of cooling energy in the buildings located in USA.

7. CONCLUSION

As the manual shading was turned on during the measured real reference period, the real temperatures were slightly lower, than the simulated outputs in the variation 4. However, in both cases is the behaviour of thermal environment very similar. The application of adequate control setting can possibly result in thermal comfort enhancement and apparent reduction of the overheating. By the lowering of heater (heat exchange-

er) power output in the installed air conditioning unit, the cost-saving reduction of the inlet temperature in the ventilation system can be achieved, which will consequently lead to the thermal discomfort reduction.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors want to thank all supporters, the project energy4buildings is funded by the Austrian Ministry for Transport, Innovation and Technology BMVIT and the Austrian Ministry of Economy, Family and Youth BMWFJ within the funding scheme COIN.

LITERATURE

- Brunner, C., Baumgartner, T., Bruhwiler, D., Schneiter, T.F. & Steineman, U. (2001) Highly Glazed Buildings — Comfort and Energy Efficiency, SIA (Swiss Society for Engineers and Architects), D 0176, Switzerland.
- Carmody, J., Selkowitz, S., Lee, E., Arasteh, D. & Willmert, T. (2004) Window Systems for High-Performance Buildings. New York: W.W. Norton & Company, 400.
- Šeduikyte, L. & Paukštytė, V. (2008) Evaluation of indoor environment conditions in offices located in buildings with large glazed areas. In: Journal of Civil Engineering and Management, 14:1, 39-44.
- Niemela, R., Hannula, M., Rautio, S., Reijuka, K. & Railio, J. (2002) The effect of indoor air temperature on labour productivity in call centres – a case study. In: Energy and Buildings 34, 759–764.
- Federspiel, C., Liu, G. & Lahiff, M. (2002) Worker performance and ventilation: analyses of individual data for call-centre workers. IN: Proc. of Indoor Air 2002, 9th International Conference on Indoor Air Quality and Climate. Monterey, CA, USA, 796–801.
- Nemethova, E., Petras, D. & Krajcik, M. (2016) Indoor environment in a high-rise building with lightweight envelope and thermally active ceiling. In: CLIMA 2016: Proceedings of the 12th REHVA World Congress. Aalborg, Denmark, 22.-25.5.2016. Aalborg: Aalborg University, 2016, online.
- Tzempelikos, A., Bessoudo, M., Athienitis, A. & Zmeureanu, R. (2007) The impact of shading on thermal comfort conditions in perimeter zones with glass facades. Available at: http://www.inive.org/members_area/medias/pdf/Inive%5CPalencAIVC2007%5CVolume%20%5CPalencAIVC2007_V2_096.pdf (Accessed: 17 July 2016).
- Wankanapon, P. & Mistrick, R. G. (2011) Roller Shades and Automatic Lighting Control with Solar Radiation Control Strategies. Available at: http://www.builtjournal.org/built_issue_1/03_pimonmart.pdf (Accessed: 21 September 2016).
- EN 15251 (2008) Indoor environmental input parameters for design and assessment of energy performance of buildings addressing indoor air quality, thermal environment, lighting and acoustics

Contact Author:

Ema Nemethova

Radlinskeho 11

810 05 Bratislava

E-Mail: nemethova.ema@gmail.com